Improvement of the predictability of low frequency induced vibration response in timber based floor structures

> Matthijs de Klerk – COST FP0702 STSM 4 november 2010

Content

Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion

Introduction

- Eurocode 5: Vibration
- Impulse velocity response
- Fundamental frequency

Discussion

Introduction

Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion

Short Term Scientific Mission

- May August 2010
- Part of my master graduation project at TU/e
- Expecting to graduate 1st quarter 2011

Edinburgh Napier University



Introduction

Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion

Timber floors – high frequency floors

- Low mass
- High stiffness
- Overall trend: deflection and vibration have greater influence on design
- Eurocode 5 provides little understanding
- Improvement of vibration predictability in design is required





Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion



Based on Ohlsson's Swedish design guide (1988)

Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion



Limit static component "point load deflection"

Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion



Limit resonance component "impulse velocity response"

Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion



frequency

Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion

Limit point load deflection

- Limit impulse velocity response
- Minimum fundamental frequency





$$v = \frac{4(0.4 + 0.6N_{40})}{m_0 LB + 200}$$
$$N_{40} = \left\{ \left(\left(\frac{40}{f_1}\right)^2 - 1 \right) \cdot \left(\frac{B}{L}\right)^4 \cdot \left(\frac{EI_L}{EI_B}\right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

- Represents the maximum velocity of the vibrating floor generated by an idealized impulse force.
- Tool does not help understanding vibration design.

$$v_{\max} = \left(\frac{du}{dt}\right)_{\max} = \frac{F \cdot t}{m} \cdot \sum \psi_n^2$$









- Vibrating mass is based on
 - 4 side supported plate
 - 50kg bodymasss

$$m = \frac{m_0 LB + 200}{4} [kg]$$

Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion



Shape of deformation

- Multiple vibration modes activated in transverse direction
- Sum of vibration modes

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi_n^2$$



Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion



Contribution of every mode is considered equal

Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion



- Maximum for summation \rightarrow "N₄₀"
- Consider vibration modes up to 40 Hertz
- Based on frequency prediction of a 4 side supported orthotropic plate

$$N_{40} = \left\{ \sqrt{\left(\left(\frac{40}{f_1}\right)^2 \left(\frac{D_y}{D_x}\right) - 1\right) \cdot \left(\frac{B}{L}\right)^4 \cdot \left(\frac{D_x}{D_y}\right) - \left(\frac{B}{L}\right)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{neglected} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

1 /



$$v = \frac{4}{m_0 LB + 200} \left(0.4 + 0.6 N_{40} \right)$$
$$N_{40} = \left\{ \left(\left(\frac{40}{f_1} \right)^2 - 1 \right) \cdot \left(\frac{B}{L} \right)^4 \cdot \left(\frac{EI_L}{EI_B} \right) \right\}^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion

Limit for 'impulse velocity response:

$$\nu \leq b^{(f_1 \cdot \zeta - 1)}$$

Parameter 'b' in National Annex



Matthijs de Klerk - COST FP0702 STSM

- The appearance of the squared shape function cannot be explained.
- The participating **mass** is based on a 4 sided simply supported plate.
- All vibration modes up to N₄₀ are considered equally activated.
- The determination of the N₄₀ is based on a 4 side simply supported orthotropic plate and neglects two terms.



$$f_1 = \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{EI}{mL^4}}$$

- Based on a 2 side supported beam
- Based on Euler-Bernoulli theory
 - Bending moments
 - Deflection

Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion

- Predictions compared with tests
 - 39 I-joist floors (Weckendorf)
 - 8 solid joist floors (Ohlsson)
- Accuracy of predictions:
 - Solid joist floors
 - I-joist floors



Accuracy Eurocode 5 predictions

- Unknown what parameters are most affecting the frequency prediction.
- Improving the frequency prediction
 - Rotary inertia
 - **Shear**
 - Combined rotary inertia and shear
 - Combining the support stiffness with the floor stiffness
 - Altered boundary conditions



Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion



 $k_b = EI$ $k_s = \frac{E_{90}A_s}{h_s}$

- Combining stiffness
 - Support
 - Floor



Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion

Adjusting boundary conditions









32

Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion



Matthijs de Klerk - COST FP0702 STSM

Introduction – Eurocode 5 – Impulse velocity response – Frequency - Discussion

Solid joist floors

Euler-Bernoulli model is sufficiently accurate

$$f_1 = \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{EI}{mL^4}}$$

I-joist floors

- Shear model is a slight improvement
- Still quite unaccurate, optimization required

$$f_1 = \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{EI}{mL^4}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{L}\right)^2 \frac{EI}{k'GA_{shear}} + 1\right)}{\left(\left(\frac{\pi}{L}\right)^2 \frac{EI}{k'GA_{shear}} + 1\right)}}$$

Matthijs de Klerk - COST FP0702 STSM



Conclusions



Discussion

